




भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY



सं. 26]
No. 26]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, जुलाई 10, 1999/आषाढ़ 19, 1921
NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JULY 10, 1999/ASADHA 19, 1921

इस भाग में मिश्र पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में
रखा जा सके

Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a
separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (iii) PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (iii)

केन्द्रीय अधिकारियों (संघ राज्य क्षेत्र प्रशासकों को छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किये गये आदेश और अधिसूचनाएँ
Orders and Notifications Issued by Central Authorities (other than the Administrations of Union Territories)

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

नई दिल्ली, 28 जून, 1999

New Delhi, the 28th June, 1999

आ. अ. 162 — लोकप्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 (1951 का 43) की धारा 106 के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्वारा निर्वाचन अर्जी सं. 1/98- (आर.) में दिया गया पटना उच्च न्यायालय, राँची बेंच, राँची का तारीख 4 मई, 1999 का आदेश प्रकाशित करता है।

O.N. 162.—In pursuance of Section 106 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951) the Election Commission hereby publishes the Order dated the 4th May, 1999 of the High Court of Judicature at Patna, Ranchi Bench, Ranchi in Election Petition No. 1 of 1998(R).

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE
AT PATNA RANCHI BENCH

Election Petition No. 1 of 1998 (R)

Samresh Singh Vs. Mrs. Reeta Verma

(आदेश अधिसूचना के अंग्रेजी भाग में छपा है।)

It is stated at the Bar that 12th Parliament (Lok Sabha) the election of the sole respondent to which has been called in question on the ground of improper and illegal rejection of the nomination of the petitioner, has stood dissolved. There is no allegation of corrupt practice for calling in question the election of the respondent. In this

[सं. 82/बिहार/(1/98/आर.)/99]

आदेश से,

बी.एन. जाबला, सचिव

view of the matter, the elction petition has become infructuous and the same is accordingly dismissed. The money deposited by the petitioner by way of security may be returned to him, if prayed for.

(G. S. CHAUBE)

[No. 82|BR|(J|98-R)99]

By Order,

B. N. CHAWLA, Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 28 जून, 1999

आ.अ. 163.— निर्वाचन आयोग 1998 की निर्वाचन अर्जी सं. 1 में तारीख 17 मई, 1999 के पंजाब और हरियाणा के उच्च न्यायालय के आदेश को लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 (1951 का 43) की धारा 106 के अनुसरण में इसके द्वारा यहाँ प्रकाशित करता है।

(आदेश अधिभुचना के अंग्रेजी भाग में छपा है।)

[सं. 82/हरि.-लो.स./1/98]

आदेश से,

के. आर. प्रसाद, सचिव

New Delhi, the 28th June, 1999

O.N. 163.—In pursuance of section 106 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951), the Election Commission hereby publishes the order of the High Court Punjab and Haryana dated the 17th May, 1999 in Election Petition No. 1 of 1998.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CIVIL ORIGINAL SIDE

ELECTION PETITION NO. 1 OF 1998

Ratan Lal son of Late Sh. Bishamber Dayal.
House No. 451 Sector-9, Faridabad (Haryana).

Petitioner

Versus

1. Sh. Ram Chander Benda s/o Sh. Jai Ram, 5-E|9-M, N.I.T. Faridabad.
2. Sh. Khurshid Ahmed son of Sh. Kabin Ahmed, Village Khanpur, Teh. Nuh, District Gurgaon.
3. Sh. Avtar Singh Badhana s/o Sh. Nahar Singh, House No. 114, Sector-16A, Faridabad.
4. Sh. Dharambir Badhana s/o Sh. Shankar, House No. 169, Sector-15, Faridabad.
5. Sh. Mahadev Sharma S/o Sh. Bhagwatdayal Sharma, 29-Nathu Colony, Ballabgarh Distt. Faridabad.
6. Mohd. Azazkhan s/o Sardar Khan, Village Sultanpur, PO-Pinangwa, Teh. Punhana Distt. Gurgaon.

7. Poonam Singh W/o Sh. S. P. Singh, G-114, S. G. M. Nagar, Faridabad.
8. Sh. Prem Pal Singh s/o Sh. Karan Singh, Vill. Ajraunda, N.I.T. Mathura Road, Faridabad.
9. Sh. Mange Ram s/o Sh. Shankar, Vill. Hardana, Teh. Samalkhan, Distt. Panipat.
10. Krishan Kumar s/o Sh. Bhirk Ram H. No. 194, Sector 15A, Faridabad.
11. Dhyam Singh s/o Sh. Bhopal Singh, Likhi Nagar, Attmadpur, Faridabad.
12. Sh. Farook s/o not known, H. No. 124|1, Neemkhera, Teh. Firozepur Jhirka

EP No. 1|98

13. Sh. Rattan Singh s/o not known. Village Khushirupur. Teh. Palwal, Distt. Faridabad.
14. Sh. Satbir s/o Sh. Tek Ram, Village Jhad Sentli, Teh. Ballabgarhh, Distt. Faridabad.
15. Smt. Sumitra d/o Sh. Jai Ram, Vill. Siwani Bolan, Teh. and Distt. Hisar.

Respondents

Petition under Section 81 read with various other provisions of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and other relevant provisions of the Constitution of India praying that the election of the respondent No. 1 be declared void on the grounds mentioned in the petition and the respondent No. 2 Ch. Khurshid Ahmed be declared as duly elected Member of Parliament as he has secured the highest number of valid votes next to the Respondent No. 1, the returned candidate.

Cost of the petition may kindly be awarded in favour of the petitioner. Any other order as may be deemed fit and proper in the circumstances of the case may be made by the Hon'ble Court.

Dated the 17th May, 1999

PRESENT :

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice G. C. Garg.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jagdev Sharma, Advocate.

For the Respondent : Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Parveen Hans, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

Mr. A. S. Tewatia, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Mr. R. S. Sihota, Advocate for respondent No. 10.

EP No. 1 of 1998

ORDER

Challenge in this election petition is to the election of respondent No. 1, Ram Chander Benda as member of 12th Lok Sabha from the Faridabad Parliamentary Constituency in the State of Haryana.

The petitioner sought a declaration that election of respondent No. 1 be declared as void and respondent No. 2, Ch. Khurshid Ahmed be declared as duly elected member of the Lok Sabha, he having secured highest number of valid votes after respondent No. 1.

Lok Sabha has already been dissolved by a Presidential order dated 26-4-1999. Respondent No. 2 thus cannot be declared to have been duly elected as a member of the 12th Lok Sabha. Similarly no useful purpose would be served by declaring the election of respondent No. 1 as void, the Lok Sabha having already been dissolved. In view of the above, it is not disputed by learned counsel for the parties that this election petition has been rendered infructuous and deserves to be dismissed as such.

For what has been stated above, this petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-

G. C. GARG, Judge

17-5-1999

[No. 82|HN-HP|1|98]

By Order,

K. R. PRASAD, Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 1 जुलाई, 1999

आ. अ. 164.— निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्वारा निर्वाचन याचिका सं. 164/1996 का 2 में 1997 की सिविल अपील सं. 5797-5799 में भारत के उच्चतम न्यायालय के तारीख 11-12-1998 के आदेश को लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 (1951 का

का 43) की धारा 106 के अनुसरण में प्रकाशित करता है।

(आदेश अधिसूचना के अंग्रेजी भाग में उठा है।)

[सं. 82/पांडे-खो.स. 2/96]

आदेश से,

बाबू राम, सचिव

New Delhi, the 1st July, 1999

O.N. 164.—In pursuance of section 106 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951), the Election Commission hereby publishes the Order of the Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal Nos. 5797-5799 of 1997 dated 11-12-98 in Election Petition No. 2 of 1996.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5797-9799 OF 1997

For M.O.H. FAROOK. --- Appellant(s)

Versus

S. Arumugham and Ors. — Respondent(s)

ORDER

In view of the office note, the appeals are dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-

(B. N. KIRPAL)

Sd/-

(S. RAJENDRA BABU)

New Delhi,
December 11, 1998

[No. 82|POND-HP|2|96]

By Order,

BABU RAM, Secy.

